

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 10/629,027 07/28/2003 Peter Calandra JR. MBRAUN.1000 7634 EXAMINER 03/15/2005 HAYES SOLOWAY PC MAUST, TIMOTHY LEWIS 175 Canal Street ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Manchester, NH 03101 3751

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	MK_
Office Action Summany				
		10/629,027	CALANDRA, PETER	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Timothy L Maust	3751	
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	SS
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE COMMUNICATION OF THE COMMUNICATION OF THIS COM	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cition.  is, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status				
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 28 July 2003		
2a)□		This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for	<del></del>	ters, prosecution as to the me	erits is
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
· _	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.			
47/23	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)□	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
· · · —	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.			
7)				
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Ex	raminer		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.				
10)[	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			121(4)
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
,	•	the Examiner. Note the attache		102.
_	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International  See the attached detailed Office action fo	uments have been received. uments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Sta	ge
		·		
	w. >			
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	, 4)	Summary (PTO-413)	
	ce of References Cited (P10-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-8		(s)/Mail Date	•
3) M Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date 10/3/03 & 3/3/04.		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/629,027

Art Unit: 3751

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 10, 14-17, 21, 26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bond et al.

In regard to claims 1 and 25, the Bond et al. reference discloses a "system" (Fig. 2) for solvent purification, comprising a "holding portion" 14, a "filter" 24, a "computer" (see col. 8, lines 17-34), a "peripheral device" (i.e., laptop computer or touchscreen) and "collection vessel" 12, as claimed.

In regard to claim 4, electronic selection can be received "remotely" via a laptop computer (see col. 8, lines 32-34).

Application/Control Number: 10/629,027

Art Unit: 3751

In regard to claims 2, 10, 26 and 28, the defined commands are capable of being programmed into the system via a laptop or touchscreen.

In regard to claim 5, see unit 1200 in Figure 12.

In regard to claim 6, the filter and solvent holding portion are capable of being detached from the system and located within separate areas.

In regard to claims 14-17 and 21, the method as claimed would be inherent during normal use and operation of the device.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pangborn et al. in view of Bond et al.

In regard to claims 1-28, the Pangborn et al. reference discloses a solvent purification system (see page 1519) substantially as claimed, but does not disclose having an automated system to control the process. However, the Bond et al. reference (discussed supra) discloses another purification system that is automated (see above) in order to carry out the process of purification automatically. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Pangborn et al. system to have a processor in view of the teachings of the Bond et al. reference in order to automate the purification process.

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy L Maust whose telephone number is (571) 272-4891. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue. - Fri. 6:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (571) 272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy L Maust Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

Tlm 3/11/05